# Aviation Health & Safety Risk in a Live Operating Environment

Ben Beard | April 2013



#### Railways & Airports – What's the Difference?



Hong Kong International Airport



Guangzhou South Railway Station



#### Where are the Health & Safety Risks at Airports?





China Airlines cra

Airbridge collapse – Chek Lap Kok Airport



#### Safety Systems in Airport Live Operating Environment

- All airports governed by ICAO (International Civil Aviation Organisation) standards Annex 14
- Countries own government department responsible for implementation of Health
   & Safety standards in conjunction with Airport Operators
- Operations and Project teams at airports are responsible for ensuring employees, passengers and anyone using the operational envelope are safeguarded against unnecessary risk





# What are the biggest Health & Safety Risks at Airports?

- Risks to employees/passengers within the terminal buildings, e.g. slips/trips/falls, illness etc.
- Risks to baggage handling staff caused by incorrect manual handling procedures or procedures not followed correctly etc.
- Risks to ground service handling staff, e.g. vehicle collisions with other vehicles, incorrect procedures followed, slips/trips/falls, fuel spillages etc.
- Risks to all employees/passengers caused by adverse weather conditions
- Risks to employees/passengers caused by runway excursions and incursions
- Risks to employees/passengers caused by FOD (Foreign Object Damage)









#### Safety Systems Auditing - Airside

- No FOD present
- Surface condition is suitable
- No birds or other wildlife are present
- Paint markings are visible and correct
- Signs are visible and correct
- Lighting is serviceable
- Equipment provided is safe for use
- Adverse weather contingency plans in place, e.g. plane de-icing, snow clearance, typhoon procedures etc.

Beneficial to repeat inspections during low visibility conditions









#### Safety Systems Auditing - Landside

- Regular inspections carried out of ALL areas landside, frequency to be agreed by operational team
- FOD removal if necessary
- M&E systems inspections carried out by suitably trained and qualified staff
- Integrity of boundaries between Landside and Airside regularly checked
- Adverse weather contingency plans in place
- Regular audited meetings between Landside and Airside safety committees to eliminate and/or reduce hazards, acts and situations ALARP, as well as to prevent and/or reduce accidents, incidents and occurrences









#### Landside/Airside Safety Committee

- Promotion of safety awareness through training, licensing and publication of safety bulletins
- Establishment and discussion of local safety procedures and guidelines
- Accident, incident and occurrence reporting and investigation, data analysis and dissemination of trends, common causes etc.
- Generation, evaluation and recognition of safety suggestions
- Preparation of regular joint safety campaigns
- Discussion of forthcoming Landside/Airside works programme



#### FOD Removal –Why is it so Important?

- Air France Flight 4590 Charles De Gaulle Airport 25/07/00
- Five minutes before the Concorde took off, a DC10 had lost a titanium alloy strip, 435 millimetres (17.1 in) long and about 29 to 34 millimetres (1.1 to 1.3 in) wide, during take off from the same runway
- Concorde tyre delaminated when it ran-over strip at 90% take-off speed
- Tyre pieces struck underside of port wing (at 310 mph) rupturing fuel tanks and severing wiring
- Electric arcing caused by severed wires ignited fuel
- Concorde lost airspeed and altitude and crashed with the loss of 109 passengers and crew and 4 people on the ground









#### Concorde Accident Conclusions

- Fuel transfer during taxiing may have overfilled the number five wing tank
- French authorities acknowledged that a required runway inspection was not completed after the Continental (DC10) take off, as was protocol for Concordetake off preparation
- The fire caused damage to the port wing, and it began to disintegrate
- Fuel tanks and ancillary systems reinforced with Kevlar on entire Concorde fleet

The Concorde crash demonstrates a failure of Airside safety systems, it was a number of failures that ultimately caused the accident





#### Asset Management Safety Systems

- Vitally important to address maintenance responsibilities, ownership of items as well as removal from and return to service providers
- All assets should have clear owner within the organisation Should be named individual to ensure clear responsibility for each asset
- Contingency plans exist to deal with failures
- Staff training on how to operate the asset
- Maintenance inspection regime
- Operational time windows should be agreed to define when maintenance work can occur
- Safety records are maintained



#### Building a Safety Case – What is it?

A Safety Case is an explicit documentation of a safety critical system, its corresponding safety objectives, and the associated safety risk assessment and risk management of the system, at appropriate milestones in the life of the system.

Safety Case Part 1 – Operational Requirements Phase Safety Case
Part 2 –
Design and
Procurement
Phase

Safety Case Part 3 – Implementation Phase Safety Case Part 4 – Normal Operations Phase



### Safety Case Part 1 - Operational Requirements Phase

- Safety Objectives and the corresponding Safety Requirements.
- Initial document provided to advise the proposed project's existence and its safety significance.
- Preliminary System Safety Assessment (PSSA), supplemented as necessary by overseas or previous experience, and in-house expertise and knowledge of deficiencies in existing systems which the new system is to replace.



### Safety Case Part 2 - Design and Procurement Phase

- Essentially to assure that the design of the system supports and provides for the safety requirements - arguments to support the design rationale and the proposed technology of the system, and to verify and validate that such satisfies the safety requirements will be provided.
- The human factors aspects of the design, and the safety implications of the design of the procedures, and the ability of personnel to safely operate to the design procedures, should also be considered.
- A full hazard and risk evaluation of the detailed design, including hardware, software, man/machine interface, human factors, equipment and administrative interfaces and external factors, should be undertaken.



#### Safety Case Part 3 – Implementation Phase

- An analysis of the safety situation following its installation and integration. The functional testing to be carried out for installation and pre-commissioning evaluation of the safety situation is detailed in this part.
- A testing regime aimed at validating the risk assessment made in Part 2 of the Safety Case, and identifying safety hazards not previously identified at Part 2 which arise during testing and integration and related activities, should be defined, with the strategy for assessing and managing these hazards and the safety issues which arise from such testing also specified.



#### Safety Case Part 4 - Normal Operations Phase

- Provide evidence that the system is safe in operational service. It will address all relevant operational and management issues, and will take account of the safety findings from the preceding three parts of the Safety Case
- A living document for the life of the system to define and document any further hazards, identified at post-commissioning or during routine operations, and the risk control actions taken to maintain compliance with safety objectives, in the light of actual day-to-day knowledge and experience with the system



#### Example of Safety Case

21 Pilot Information Package 1 Title 11 Consultation and Communication 22 SystemTransition Plan 2 Purpose/Background/Operational Requirement 12 Design Process 23 RAM End-to-End System Analysis 3 Scope 13 Design Safety Risk Management - HAZID and HAZLOĞ reviews 24 System Test Procedure 4 System Overview and Description 14 Design Limitations and Shortcomings 25 System Test Results State the outcome of the system tests undertaken 5 VHF Communication System Overall voice comms system description/performance standards/overview/ bearers/third 15 Implementation Process party provided services. 26 Define the System Safety Risk Management 16 Status of Safety Controls and Safety Requirements 6 New ATC Procedures and Staff 27 Define Risk Management Process used for the Safety Case 17 Engineering Support and Engineering System Maintenance 7 Logistics support 28 HAZID Provide the record of all HAZID activities undertaken 18 Criteria for Maintenance 8 Safety Requirements 29 Status of Hazards (HAZLOG) 19 Safety Performance Monitoring 9 Assumptions, Constraints and Dependencies 30 List all Hazards not controlled to tolerable level 20 ATC Staff Training and 31 Post implementation review plan -10 Responsibilities performance and safety of the system



## Aerodrome Safety Management Systems and Safety Auditing

Aforementioned systems are required to be in place and implemented and consist of a cyclical process, including:

- Written safety policy
- Organising and training staff
- Establishing safety culture and communication systems
- Planning and setting standards (safety cases)
- Elimination or effective control of risks
- Performance management
- Active monitoring of compliance and reactive monitoring of incidents



#### Questions

